



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/995,913		11/28/2001	Toshiyuki Nakagawa	1232-4789 1570 EXAMINER	
27123	7590	02/24/2006			
MORGAN	& FINN	EGAN, L.L.P.	HANG, VU B		
3 WORLD FINANCIAL CENTER				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK, NY 10281-2101				2622	

DATE MAILED: 02/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/995,913	NAKAGAWA, TOSHIYUKI			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Vu B. Hang	2622			
The MAIL Period for Reply	ING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED WHICHEVER IS - Extensions of time rr after SIX (6) MONTH If NO period for reply - Failure to reply within Any reply received b	STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE and be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 IS from the mailing date of this communication. It is specified above, the maximum statutory period with the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, by the Office later than three months after the mailing adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsiv	re to communication(s) filed on 28 No	ovember 2001.				
<i>'</i> —	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in a	accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	03 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Clair	ms					
4a) Of the 5) ☐ Claim(s) _ 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s) _	 -16 is/are pending in the application. above claim(s) is/are withdraw is/are allowed. -16 is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction and/o 	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers	3					
10)⊠ The drawir Applicant m Replaceme	ication is objected to by the Examine ag(s) filed on <u>28 November 2001</u> is/a nay not request that any objection to the ent drawing sheet(s) including the correct or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a) \square accepted or b) \square object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119					
a) All b) [1. Cer 2. Cer 3. Cop app	Igment is made of a claim for foreign Some * c) None of: tified copies of the priority documents tified copies of the priority documents bies of the certified copies of the priority lication from the International Bureau ached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Reference		4) Interview Summary				
	rson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 11/21/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant stated that Matsui et al (US Patent 6,539,054 B1) fails to disclose in Fig.1 object data for moving image and audio. After further reviewing Matsui et al (US Patent 6,539,054 B1), it is determined, however, that object data for moving image and audio are being operated upon in the data processing apparatus disclosed by Matsui (see Fig.2(c) and Col.10, Line 51-56). It is further determined from Fig.5 that a setting means is present for setting second time information for reproducing the moving image and audio data objects (see Fig.5 (S51, S52, S53) and Col.10, Line 25-34). Therefore, the previous rejections are maintained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5, 7-12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsui et al (US Patent 6,539,054 B1).

Regarding Claim 1, Matsui discloses a data processing apparatus for decoding and reproducing object data separated from a coded bit stream (see Fig.1 and Col.21, Line 47-54) including at least object data of moving image and audio (see Fig.27(c) and Col.10, Line 51-56), based on first time information for the synchronization management of the moving image and audio included in the object data (see Fig.27(c) and Col.10, Line 26-56), the data processing

Art Unit: 2622

apparatus comprising: a time information calculating means for calculating second time information for synchronization management of the moving image and audio (see Fig.4, Col.11 and Line 4-21); a setting means for setting the second time information calculated by the time information calculating means as first time information (see Fig.5 (S51,S52,S53) and Col.10, Line 25-34); and a decoding means for decoding the object data using the second time information (see Fig.1 (11a,11b) and Col.11, Line 1-25). Matsui, however, fails to expressly disclose a means for acquiring second time information based on the speed conversion request from outside.

From Fig.1, Matsui discloses that the bit stream is inputted into the apparatus from a network medium. This suggests that outside user interactions or requests can be incorporated into the apparatus. Since the speed of the data object conversion is essential to the image reproduction process, it obvious for one skilled in the art to allow an outside source to input the speed conversion data into the apparatus. It is known in the art that a user can request the speed at which the user wants to scan through a digital data component. For example, a user request, through a remote control, the speed at which the user wants to scan through a DVD disc (slow motion, or fast forwarding).

Regarding Claims 2 and 9, Matsui further discloses that the coded bit stream includes a bit stream based on MPEG-4 (see Col.2, Line 16-27).

Regarding Claims 3 and 10, Matsui further discloses that the object data of audio includes data coded by high efficiency compression coding according to a coding method having a reproduction speed conversion (see Col. 1, Line 29-30 and Col.2, Line 16-27).

Application/Control Number: 09/995,913

Art Unit: 2622

Regarding Claims 4 and 11, Matsui further discloses a means for extracting the first time information from an access unit of the object data fed into a buffer for decoding target data (see Fig.1 (11) and Col.21, Line 47-64).

Regarding Claims 5 and 12, Matsui further discloses that the decoding means of data objects has a reproduction speed conversion function (see Col. 1, Line 29-30 and Col.12, Line 47-51).

Regarding Claims 7 and 14, Matsui further discloses a notifying means for notifying the decoding means for the object data of audio, of information from an outside source (see Fig.1 and Col.5, Line 23-38).

Regarding Claim 8, Matsui discloses a data processing method for separating and decoding a bit stream including object data of one or plural coded moving image and audio, in units of the object data, compositing the one or plural object data thus decoded, and outputting the result of composition (see Fig.1 and Col.1, Line 29-33), with the data processing method comprising: an extraction step of specifying and extracting an area of first time information for synchronization management of the moving image and audio from the object data (see Fig.1 (11) and Col.21, Line 47-54); a setting step of calculating second time information for synchronization management of the moving image and audio and setting the second time information as the first time information (see Fig.18 (1162b), Col.10, Line 25-30 and Col.21, Line 47-54); and a decoding step of decoding the object data based on the second time information (see Fig.18(1161b) and Col.10, Line 32-36). Matsui however fails to expressly disclose that calculating the second time information for synchronization management of the moving image and audio is based on the speed conversion request from the outside.

From Fig.18, Matsui discloses that the bit stream is inputted into the apparatus from a network medium. This suggests that outside user interactions or requests can be incorporated into the method. Since the speed of the data object conversion is essential to the image reproduction process, it obvious for one skilled in the art to allow an outside source to input the speed conversion data into the method. It is known in the art that a user can request the speed at which the user wants to scan through a digital data component. For example, a user request, through a remote control, the speed at which the user wants to scan through a DVD disc (slow motion, or fast forwarding).

Page 5

Claims 6 and 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsui et al (US Patent 6,539,054 B1) in view of (Kato (US Patent 6,584,125 B1).

Regarding Claims 6 and 13, Matsui further discloses that the time information includes a Decoding Time Stamp (see Col.21, Line 55-64) but fails to expressly disclose that the time information includes a Composition Time Stamp. Kato, however, discloses that the time information includes a Composition Time Stamp (see Col.2, Line 40-44).

Matsui and Kato are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely image reproduction apparatus. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to include the Composition Time Stamp in the time information of data objects. It is known in the art that the time stamps for decoding are used for "interpolative prediction" and that the time stamps for data object composition are used to represent the timing at which decoded data objects can be multiplexed. It is also known in the art that the decoding time stamp and the composite time stamp are used together to determine how reproduced image

Art Unit: 2622

data are displayed. Therefore, it is obvious for one skilled in the art to include both time stamps in the time information of the image and audio data objects.

Page 6

Claim 15, Matsui further discloses a computer-readable program for causing a computer to execute a data processing method set out in Claim 8 (see Col. 14, Line 65-67 and Col.15, Line 1-4).

Claim 16 recites identical features as Claim 8 except Claim 16 is a computer readable medium. Thus, arguments similar to that presented above for Claim 8 is equally applicable to Claim 16 because without a computer readable medium to store a program that makes it possible for the method or apparatus to operate, the method taught by Matsui et al. and Kato and the cited rejection of Claim 8 could not function.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2622

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vu B. Hang whose telephone number is (571) 272-0582. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00am - 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward L. Coles can be reached on (571) 272-7402. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Vu Hang Assistant Examiner

Vu Hang

JOSEPH R. POKRZYWA
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 2622
Joseph R Phypy

Page 7